

YORKTOWN HEIGHTS NY 10598



UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

washington, D.C. 2023 i	
	Δ
	π.

DATE MAILED:

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/20/99 09/378,502 MCFEELY Y0999-198 **EXAMINER** IM52/0312 CASEY AUGUST CHEN B **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORP 24 P 0 BOX 218 1762

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

03/12/01



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/378,502

Applican

Fenton Read McFeely et al.

Examiner

Bret Chen

Group Art Unit 1762

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 3, 2001	
X This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failur application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exter 37 CFR 1.136(a).	re to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	
Claims	
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ving Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	ected to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □approved □disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	· ·
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	s of the priority documents have been
☐ received.	
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial N	lumber)
\square received in this national stage application from t	he International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-	·948
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION OF	N THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/378502

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claims 1-16 are pending in this application. Amended claim 1 is noted.

The amendment dated 1/3/01 has been entered and carefully considered. The examiner appreciates the amendment to the claims. In view of said amendment, the objection to the claims has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wen et al. (5,553,395) in view of Tenhover et al. (4,851,296) for the reasons listed in the previous office action.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 1/3/01 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant first argues that Wen fails tot each a bubbler as there in no liquid phase and hence no agitation of the liquid by the bubbling (p.3 first paragraph).

The examiner disagrees. It is first noted that nowhere in the independent claim 1 is there any mention of agitating the liquid by bubbling. Hence, applicant's arguments are not commensurate in scope with the instant claims as presently written. Secondly, it is noted that

Art Unit: 1762

Wen fairly teaches of a liquid bath (col.3 lines 1-11). In addition, that oils are typically employed in heat baths, a fact readily admitted by the applicant on p.3 second paragraph.

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive.

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bret Chen whose telephone number is (703) 308-3809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck, can be reached on (703) 308-2333. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-5408. Amendment After Finals should be faxed to (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

B. Chen

March 8, 2001

Shrive Beck upervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700